arXiv:math/0606020v2 [math.GR] 12 Nov 2006

MINIMALITY OF THE BOUNDARY OF A RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER SYSTEM

TETSUYA HOSAKA

Abstract. In this paper, we show that the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ of a right-angled Coxeter system (W,S) is minimal if and only if $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is irreducible, where $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is the minimum parabolic subgroup of finite index in W. We also provide several applications and remarks. In particular, we obtain that for a right-angled Coxeter system (W,S), the set $\{w^\infty \,|\, w \in W, o(w)=\infty\}$ is dense in the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The purpose of this paper is to study dense subsets of the boundary of a Coxeter system. A *Coxeter group* is a group W having a presentation

$$\langle\, S \,|\, (st)^{m(s,t)} = 1 \text{ for } s,t \in S \,\rangle,$$

where S is a finite set and $m : S \times S \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $m(s,t) = m(t,s)$ for each $s,t \in S$,
(2) $m(s,s) = 1$ for each $s \in S$, and
(3) $m(s,t) \geq 2$ for each $s,t \in S$ such that $s \neq t$.

The pair (W,S) is called a *Coxeter system*. If, in addition,

(4) $m(s,t) = 2$ or ∞ for each $s,t \in S$ such that $s \neq t$,

then (W,S) is said to be *right-angled*. Let (W,S) be a Coxeter system. Then W has the *word metric* d_ℓ defined by $d_\ell(w,w') = \ell(w^{-1}w')$ for each $w,w' \in W$, where $\ell(w)$ is the word length of w with respect to S. For a subset $T \subset S$, W_T is defined as the subgroup of W generated by T, and called a *parabolic subgroup*. If T is the empty set, then W_T is the trivial group. A subset $T \subset S$ is called a *spherical subset of* S, if the parabolic subgroup W_T is finite.

Every Coxeter system (W,S) determines a *Davis complex* $\Sigma(W,S)$ which is a CAT(0) geodesic space ([6], [7], [8], [19]). Here the 1-skeleton of $\Sigma(W,S)$ is the Cayley graph of W with respect to S. The natural action of W on $\Sigma(W,S)$

Date: November 11, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M07, 20F65, 20F55.

Key words and phrases. boundaries of Coxeter groups.

Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. (No. 18740025).

is proper, cocompact and by isometries. If W is infinite, then $\Sigma(W,S)$ is noncompact and $\Sigma(W,S)$ can be compactified by adding its ideal boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ ([4], [7, §4]). This boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is called the *boundary of* (W,S). We note that the natural action of W on $\Sigma(W,S)$ induces an action of W on $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ by homeomorphisms.

A subset A of a space X is said to be *dense* in X, if $\overline{A}=X$. A subset A of a metric space X is said to be *quasi-dense*, if there exists $N>0$ such that each point of X is N-close to some point of A. Suppose that a group G acts on a compact metric space X by homeomorphisms. Then X is said to be *minimal*, if every orbit Gx is dense in X.

For a negatively curved group Γ and the boundary $\partial\Gamma$ of Γ, we know that each orbit $\Gamma\alpha$ is dense in $\partial\Gamma$ for any $\alpha\in\partial\Gamma$, that is, $\partial\Gamma$ is minimal ([9]). We note that Coxeter groups are non-positive curved groups and not negatively curved groups in general. Indeed, there exist examples of Coxeter systems whose boundaris are not minimal (cf. [13], [15]). The purpose of this paper is to investigate when the boundary of a Coxeter system is minimal.

In [13, Theorem 1], we obtained a sufficient condition of a Coxeter system (W,S) such that *some* orbit of the Coxeter group W is dense in the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$. After some preliminaries in Section 2, we first show that the boundary of such Coxeter system is minimal, that is, we prove the following theorem in Section 3.

Theorem 1. *Let (W,S) be a Coxeter system. Suppose that $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W with respect to the word metric and $o(s_0t_0)=\infty$ for some $s_0,t_0\in S$, where $o(s_0t_0)$ is the order of s_0t_0 in W. Then*

(1) *$\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal, and*
(2) *$\{w^\infty \mid w\in W,\ o(w)=\infty\}$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$.*

Here $W^{\{s_0\}}=\{w\in W \mid \ell(wt)>\ell(w) \text{ for each } t\in S\setminus\{s_0\}\}\setminus\{1\}$.

In Sections 4 and 5, we investigate right-angled Coxeter groups and we prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 2. *For a right-angled Coxeter system (W,S), the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal if and only if $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is irreducible.*

Here $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is the minimum parabolic subgroup of finite index in (W,S), that is, for the irreducible decomposition $W=W_{S_1}\times\cdots\times W_{S_n}$, $\tilde{S}=\bigcup\{S_i \mid W_{S_i} \text{ is infinite}\}$ ([10]).

We provide several applications of Theorem 2 in Sections 5 and 6. In particular, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *For a right-angled Coxeter system (W,S), the set $\{w^\infty \mid w\in W,\ o(w)=\infty\}$ is dense in the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$.*

In Section 6, we provide some remarks on dense subsets of boundaries of CAT(0) groups.

2. Lemmas on Coxeter groups

In this section, we prove some lemmas for (right-angled) Coxeter groups which are used later.

We first provide some definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$. A representation $w = s_1 \cdots s_l$ ($s_i \in S$) is said to be *reduced*, if $\ell(w) = l$, where $\ell(w)$ is the minimum length of word in S which represents w.

Definition 2.2. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. For each $w \in W$, we define $S(w) = \{s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w)\}$. For a subset $T \subset S$, we also define $W^T = \{w \in W \mid S(w) = T\}$.

The following lemma is known.

Lemma 2.3 ([1], [5], [17])**.** *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system.*

1. *Let $w \in W$ and let $w = s_1 \cdots s_l$ be a representation. If $\ell(w) < l$, then $w = s_1 \cdots \hat{s_i} \cdots \hat{s_j} \cdots s_l$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq l$.*
2. *For each $w \in W$ and $s \in S$, $\ell(ws)$ equals either $\ell(w) + 1$ or $\ell(w) - 1$, and $\ell(sw)$ also equals either $\ell(w) + 1$ or $\ell(w) - 1$.*
3. *For each $w \in W$, $S(w)$ is a spherical subset of S, i.e., $W_{S(w)}$ is finite.*

We can obtain the following lemma from the proof of [13, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 2.4. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let T be a maximal spherical subset of S. Then W^T is quasi-dense in W.*

Proof. Let $w \in W$. There exists an element w' of longest length in the coset wW_T. Then $S(w') = T$ by the proof of [13, Lemma 2.5]. Here $d_\ell(w, w') \leq \max\{\ell(v) \mid v \in W_T\}$. Thus W^T is quasi-dense in W. $\square$

Lemma 2.5 ([13, Lemma 2.3 (3)])**.** *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $s, t \in S$ such that $o(st) = \infty$. Then $W^{\{s\}} t \subset W^{\{t\}}$.*

We provide some lemmas for right-angled Coxeter groups. We note that right-angled Coxeter groups are *rigid*, that is, a right-angled Coxeter group determines its Coxeter system uniquely up to isomorphism ([20]).

By a consequence of Tits' solution to the word problem ([22], [5, p.50]), we can obtain the following lemma (cf. [11, Lemma 5]).

Lemma 2.6. *Let (W, S) be a right-angled Coxeter system, let $w \in W$, let $w = s_1 \cdots s_l$ be a reduced representation and let $t, t' \in S$. If $tw = t(s_1 \cdots s_l)$ is reduced and $twt' = w$, then $t = t'$ and $ts_i = s_i t$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$.*

Using Lemma 2.6, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (W, S) be a right-angled Coxeter system, let U be a spherical subset of S, let $s_0 \in S \setminus U$ and let $T = \{t \in U \mid o(s_0 t) = 2\}$. Then $W^U s_0 \subset W^{T \cup \{s_0\}}$.*

Proof. Let $w \in W^U$. To prove that $ws_0 \in W^{T\cup\{s_0\}}$, we show that $S(ws_0) = T \cup \{s_0\}$. We note that $\ell(ws_0) = \ell(w) + 1$ since $s_0 \notin U = S(w)$. Hence $s_0 \in S(ws_0)$. Also for each $t \in T$, by the definition of T, $\ell(ws_0t) = \ell(wts_0) < \ell(ws_0)$, and $t \in S(ws_0)$. Thus $T\cup\{s_0\} \subset S(ws_0)$. Next we show that $S(ws_0) \subset T \cup \{s_0\}$. Let $t \in S(ws_0)$. Then $\ell(ws_0t) < \ell(ws_0)$. If $w = a_1 \dots a_l$ is a reduced representation, then by Lemma 2.3 (1),

$$ws_0t = (a_1 \dots a_l)s_0t = (a_1 \dots \hat{a}_i \dots a_l)s_0$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, or $t = s_0$. By Lemma 2.6, we obtain that $s_0t = ts_0$. This implies that if $t \neq s_0$ then $\ell(wt) < \ell(w)$, i.e., $t \in S(w) = U$. Since $t \in U$ and $s_0t = ts_0$, $t \in T$. Hence $S(ws_0) \subset T \cup \{s_0\}$. Thus $S(ws_0) = T \cup \{s_0\}$ and $ws_0 \in W^{T\cup\{s_0\}}$. We obtain that $W^U s_0 \subset W^{T\cup\{s_0\}}$. □

The following lemma is known.

Lemma 2.8 ([1], [17]). *For a right-angled Coxeter system (W, S), the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *(W, S) is irreducible.*
(2) *For each $a, b \in S$ such that $a \neq b$, there exists a sequence $\{a = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n = b\} \subset S$ such that $o(s_is_{i+1}) = \infty$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.*

3. Minimality of the boundary of a Coxeter system

In this section, we provide an extension of a result in [13] on minimality of the boundary of a Coxeter system.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Suppose that $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W and $o(s_0t_0) = \infty$ for some $s_0, t_0 \in S$. Then*

(1) *$\partial\Sigma(W, S)$ is minimal, and*
(2) *$\{w^\infty \mid w \in W,\ o(w) = \infty\}$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W and $o(s_0t_0) = \infty$ for some $s_0, t_0 \in S$. Then we show that $W\alpha$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$ for any $\alpha \in \partial\Sigma(W, S)$.

Let $\alpha \in \partial\Sigma(W, S)$ and let $\{w_i\} \subset W$ be a sequence which converges to α in $\Sigma(W, S) \cup \partial\Sigma(W, S)$. Since $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W, there exists a number $N > 0$ such that for each $w \in W$, $d_\ell(w, v) \leq N$ for some $v \in W^{\{s_0\}}$. Hence for each $w \in W$, there exists $x \in W$ such that $\ell(x) \leq N$ and $wx \in W^{\{s_0\}}$. For each i, there exists $x_i \in W$ such that $\ell(x_i) \leq N$ and $(w_i)^{-1}x_i \in W^{\{s_0\}}$. We note that the set $\{x \in W \mid \ell(x) \leq N\}$ is finite because S is finite. Hence $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is finite, and there exist $x \in W$ and a sequence $\{i_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{i_j} = x$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $(w_{i_j})^{-1}x_{i_j} = (w_{i_j})^{-1}x \in W^{\{s_0\}}$ and $(w_{i_j})^{-1}xt_0 \in W^{\{t_0\}}$ by Lemma 2.5, since $o(s_0t_0) = \infty$. Hence $t_0x^{-1}w_{i_j} \in (W^{\{t_0\}})^{-1}$. The sequence $\{t_0x^{-1}w_{i_j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to $t_0x^{-1}\alpha$, since $\{w_{i_j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to α. By the proof of [13, Theorem 4.1], we obtain that $Wt_0x^{-1}\alpha$ is dence in $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$, that is, $W\alpha$ is dence in $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$.

Thus every orbit $W\alpha$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ and $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal.

The minimality of $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ implies that the set $\{w^\infty \mid w \in W,\ o(w)=\infty\}$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ (see Proposition 6.2). $\square$

Here we have a question whether conversely if $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal then $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W and $o(s_0t_0)=\infty$ for some $s_0,t_0 \in S$. The answer of this question is no in general.

For example, let $S=\{s_1,s_2,s_3\}$ and let

$$W=\langle S \mid s_1^2=s_2^2=s_3^2=(s_1s_2)^4=(s_2s_3)^4=(s_3s_1)^4=1\rangle.$$

Then W is a negatively curved group and the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal. On the other hand, there do not exist $s_0,t_0 \in S$ such that $o(s_0t_0)=\infty$.

In Section 5, we will show that the answer of this question is yes for right-angled Coxeter groups.

4. Key Lemma

In this section, we prove the following lemma which plays a key role in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (W,S) be a right-angled Coxeter system such that W is infinite. If W is irreducible, then $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W for some $s_0 \in S$.*

Proof. We suppose that $W^{\{s\}}$ is not quasi-dense in W for any $s \in S$. Then we show that W is not irreducible.

Let $s_0 \in S$, let $T_1=\{t \in S \mid o(s_0t)=2\}$ and let $S_1=S\setminus T_1$. If $T_1=\emptyset$ then $o(s_0s)=\infty$ for each $s \in S\setminus\{s_0\}$, hence $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W which contradicts the assumption. Thus $T_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $S_1=\{s_0\}$ then $W=W_{\{s_0\}}\times W_{T_1}$, i.e., W is not irreducible. We suppose that $S_1 \neq \{s_0\}$.

Let $s_1 \in S_1\setminus\{s_0\}$, let $T_2=\{t \in T_1 \mid o(s_1t)=2\}$ and let $S_2=S\setminus T_2=S_1\cup(T_1\setminus T_2)$. We note that $o(s_it)=2$ for each $i \in \{0,1\}$ and $t \in T_2$, i.e., $W_{\{s_0,s_1\}\cup T_2}=W_{\{s_0,s_1\}}\times W_{T_2}$. Since $s_1 \in S_1\setminus\{s_0\}$, we obtain that $o(s_0s_1)=\infty$ and $W_{\{s_0,s_1\}}$ is irreducible.

Now we show that $T_2 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $T_2=\emptyset$. This means that $o(s_1t)=\infty$ for each $t \in T_1$. Let U be a maximal spherical subset of S such that $s_0 \in U$. Then $o(uv)=2$ for each $u,v \in U$ such that $u \neq v$, because (W,S) is right-angled and W_U is finite. Hence $o(s_0u)=2$ for each $u \in U$, since $s_0 \in U$. This means that $U \subset T_1\cup\{s_0\}$. Hence $o(s_1u)=\infty$ for any $u \in U$, because $o(s_1t)=\infty$ for any $t \in T_1$ and $o(s_0s_1)=\infty$. Thus $W^Us_1 \subset W^{\{s_1\}}$ by Lemma 2.7. Here by Lemma 2.4, W^U is quasi-dense in W, since U is a maximal spherical subset of S. Hence $W^{\{s_1\}}$ is quasi-dense in W. This contradicts the assumption. Thus we obtain that $T_2 \neq \emptyset$.

If $S_2 = \{s_0, s_1\}$ then $W = W_{\{s_0,s_1\}} \times W_{T_2}$ and W is not irreducible. We suppose that $S_2 \neq \{s_0, s_1\}$. Let $s_2 \in S_2 \setminus \{s_0, s_1\}$, let $T_3 = \{t \in T_2 \mid o(s_2 t) = 2\}$ and let $S_3 = S \setminus T_3 = S_2 \cup (T_2 \setminus T_3)$.

By induction, we define s_k, T_{k+1}, S_{k+1} as follows: Let

$$\begin{aligned} & s_k \in S_k \setminus \{s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}\}, \\ & T_{k+1} = \{t \in T_k \mid o(s_k t) = 2\} \text{ and} \\ & S_{k+1} = S \setminus T_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $W_{\{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_k\} \cup T_{k+1}} = W_{\{s_0,s_1,\dots,s_k\}} \times W_{T_{k+1}}$. If $S_{k+1} \setminus \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} = \emptyset$ then $W = W_{S_{k+1}} \times W_{T_{k+1}}$, i.e., W is not irreducible. Here we note that $T_{k+1} \subset T_k \subset \cdots \subset T_2 \subset T_1$. If $T_k \neq \emptyset$ for each k, then by the finiteness of S, there exists a number n such that $W = W_{S_n} \times W_{T_n}$, hence W is not irreducible.

We prove the following statements by the induction on k.

- ($\mathrm{i_k}$) $T_k \neq \emptyset$.
- ($\mathrm{ii_k}$) $W_{\{s_0,\dots,s_{k-1}\}}$ is irreducible.
- ($\mathrm{iii_k}$) There exists a spherical subset $U_k \subset T_k$ such that $W^{U_k \cup \{s_i\}}$ is quasi-dense in W for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$.

We first consider in the case $k = 2$. The statement ($\mathrm{i_2}$) $T_2 \neq \emptyset$ was proved in the above. Also ($\mathrm{ii_2}$) holds, since $W_{\{s_0,s_1\}} = W_{\{s_0\}} * W_{\{s_1\}}$ is irreducible. We show that the statement ($\mathrm{iii_2}$) holds. Let U be a maximal spherical subset of S such that $s_0 \in U$. Then W^U is quasi-dense in W by Lemma 2.4. Let $U_2 = U \cap T_2$. We note that $U_2 = \{t \in U \mid o(s_1 t) = 2\}$. By Lemma 2.7, $W^U s_1 \subset W^{U_2 \cup \{s_1\}}$. Hence $W^{U_2 \cup \{s_1\}}$ is quasi-dense in W. (This implies that $U_2 \neq \emptyset$ by the assumption.) Also $W^{U_2 \cup \{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W, since $W^{U_2 \cup \{s_1\}} s_0 \subset W^{U_2 \cup \{s_0\}}$ by Lemma 2.7. Thus ($\mathrm{iii_2}$) holds.

We suppose that ($\mathrm{i_k}$), ($\mathrm{ii_k}$) and ($\mathrm{iii_k}$) hold for some $k \geq 2$. Then we prove that ($\mathrm{i_{k+1}}$), ($\mathrm{ii_{k+1}}$) and ($\mathrm{iii_{k+1}}$) hold.

($\mathrm{i_{k+1}}$): We show that $T_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $T_{k+1} = \emptyset$. If $o(s_k s_i) = 2$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, then $s_k \in T_k$ which contradicts the definition of s_k. Hence $o(s_k s_{i_0}) = \infty$ for some $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. Since $T_{k+1} = \emptyset$, $o(s_k t) = \infty$ for any $t \in T_k$. Here $U_k \subset T_k$ and $o(s_k t) = \infty$ for any $t \in U_k$. Hence $W^{U_k \cup \{s_{i_0}\}} s_k \subset W^{\{s_k\}}$ by Lemma 2.7. By ($\mathrm{iii_k}$), $W^{U_k \cup \{s_{i_0}\}}$ is quasi-dense in W. Thus $W^{\{s_k\}}$ is also quasi-dense in W, which contradicts the assumption. Hence $T_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$.

($\mathrm{ii_{k+1}}$): We show that $W_{\{s_0,\dots,s_{k-1},s_k\}}$ is irreducible. Now $o(s_k s_{i_0}) = \infty$ for some $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ by the above argument. Also $W_{\{s_0,\dots,s_{k-1}\}}$ is irreducible by the hypothesis ($\mathrm{ii_k}$). Hence $W_{\{s_0,\dots,s_{k-1},s_k\}}$ is irreducible.

($\mathrm{iii_{k+1}}$): By ($\mathrm{iii_k}$), there exists a spherical subset $U_k \subset T_k$ such that $W^{U_k \cup \{s_i\}}$ is quasi-dense in W for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. We define $U_{k+1} = U_k \cap T_{k+1}$, i.e., $U_{k+1} = \{t \in U_k \mid o(s_k t) = 2\}$. Here $o(s_k s_{i_0}) = \infty$ for some $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ by the above argument. Then $W^{U_k \cup \{s_{i_0}\}} s_k \subset W^{U_{k+1} \cup \{s_k\}}$ by Lemma 2.7. Hence

$W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{s_k\}}$ is quasi-dense in W, since $W^{U_k\cup\{s_{i_0}\}}$ is so. Finally we show that $W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{s_i\}}$ is quasi-dense in W for each $i \in \{0,\dots,k-1,k\}$. We note that $W_{\{s_0,\dots,s_{k-1},s_k\}}$ is irreducible by ($\mathrm{ii_{k+1}}$). Hence for each $j_0 \in \{0,\dots,k-1\}$, there exists a sequence $\{s_k = a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m = s_{j_0}\} \subset \{s_i \mid i = 0,1,\dots,k\}$ such that $o(a_i a_{i+1}) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8. Then by Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{s_k\}} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m &\subset W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{a_1\}} a_2 \cdots a_m \\ &\subset \cdots \subset W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{a_m\}} = W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{s_{j_0}\}}, \end{aligned}$$

because $o(s_i u) = 2$ for each $i \in \{0,1,\dots,k-1,k\}$ and $u \in U_{k+1}$. Thus $W^{U_{k+1}\cup\{s_{j_0}\}}$ is quasi-dense in W. Hence ($\mathrm{iii_{k+1}}$) holds.

Thus by the induction on k, we can define s_{k-1}, T_k, S_k which satisfy ($\mathrm{i_k}$), ($\mathrm{ii_k}$) and ($\mathrm{iii_k}$). Since S is finite, there exists a number n such that $S_n = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ and $W = W_{S_n} \times W_{T_n}$, where $T_n \neq \emptyset$. Thus W is not irreducible. $\square$

5. DENSE SUBSETS OF THE BOUNDARY OF A RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER GROUP

We obtain the following main theorem from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (W,S) be a right-angled Coxeter system such that W is infinite. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. *$\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal.*
2. *$W_{\tilde{S}}$ is irreducible.*
3. *$W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W and $o(s_0 t_0) = \infty$ for some $s_0, t_0 \in S$.*

Proof. (3) ⇒ (1): If the statement (3) holds, then $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is minimal by Theorem 3.1.

(1) ⇒ (2): Suppose that $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is not irreducible. Let $W_{\tilde{S}} = W_{S_1} \times W_{S_2}$, where W_{S_1} and W_{S_2} are infinite. Then $\partial\Sigma(W,S) = \partial\Sigma(W_{\tilde{S}},\tilde{S})$ and $\Sigma(W_{\tilde{S}},\tilde{S}) = \Sigma(W_{S_1},S_1) \times \Sigma(W_{S_2},S_2)$. Here by [10, Theorem 4.3], $\partial\Sigma(W_{S_1},S_1)$ is W-invariant, that is, $W\partial\Sigma(W_{S_1},S_1) = \partial\Sigma(W_{S_1},S_1)$. Thus for $\alpha \in \partial\Sigma(W_{S_1},S_1)$, $\overline{W\alpha} \subset \partial\Sigma(W_{S_1},S_1)$. Hence $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ is not minimal. In Section 6, we will provide more general proof (Theorem 6.4).

(2) ⇒ (3): Suppose that $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is irreducible. By Lemma 4.1, $W^{\{s_0\}} \cap W_{\tilde{S}}$ is quasi-dense in $W_{\tilde{S}}$ for some $s_0 \in \tilde{S}$. Here $W = W_{\tilde{S}} \times W_{S\setminus\tilde{S}}$ and $W_{S\setminus\tilde{S}}$ is finite (see [10]). Hence $W^{\{s_0\}}$ is quasi-dense in W. Since $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is irreducible, $o(s_0 t_0) = \infty$ for some $t_0 \in \tilde{S}$ by Lemma 2.8. Thus the statement (3) holds. $\square$

There is the following question in [14].

Question 5.2. Let (W,S) be a Coxeter system. Is it the case that if (W,S) is an irreducible Coxeter system then $W\partial\Sigma(W_T,T)$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W,S)$ for any subset T of S such that W_T is infinite?

Theorem 5.1 implies that the answer of Question 5.2 is yes for right-angled Coxeter groups. Moreover, as an application of Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Let (W, S) be a right-angled Coxeter system and let $T \subset S$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *$W\partial\Sigma(W_T, T)$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$.*
(2) *If $W = W_{S_1} \times \cdots \times W_{S_n}$ is the irreducible decomposition of W, then $W_{S_i \cap T}$ is infinite for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that W_{S_i} is infinite.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): Let $W = W_{S_1} \times \cdots \times W_{S_n}$ be the irreducible decomposition of W. We suppose that there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $W_{S_{i_0}}$ is infinite and $W_{S_{i_0} \cap T}$ is finite. Let $A_1 = S \setminus S_{i_0}$ and $A_2 = S_{i_0}$. Then $W = W_{A_1} \times W_{A_2}$, W_{A_2} is infinite and $W_{A_2 \cap T}$ is finite. We note that $\partial\Sigma(W_{A_1}, A_1)$ is W-invariant by [10, Theorem 4.3]. Since $W_T = W_{A_1 \cap T} \times W_{A_2 \cap T}$ and $W_{A_2 \cap T}$ is finite, $\partial\Sigma(W_T, T) \subset \partial\Sigma(W_{A_1}, A_1)$. Thus

$$W\partial\Sigma(W_T, T) \subset W\partial\Sigma(W_{A_1}, A_1) = \partial\Sigma(W_{A_1}, A_1).$$

Since W_{A_2} is infinite and

$$\partial\Sigma(W, S) = \partial\Sigma(W_{A_1}, A_1) * \partial\Sigma(W_{A_2}, A_2),$$

$W\partial\Sigma(W_T, T)$ is not dene in $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$.

(2) ⇒ (1): Let $W = W_{S_1} \times \cdots \times W_{S_n}$ be the irreducible decomposition of W. Suppose that (2) holds. Then we prove that (1) holds by induction on n.

We first consider in the case $n = 1$. Then $W = W_{S_1}$ is irreducible. Since $W_{S_1 \cap T}$ is infinite, $\partial\Sigma(W_T, T) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $W\partial\Sigma(W_T, T)$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$ by Theorem 5.1.

Next we consider in the case $n > 1$. Let $A_1 = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_{n-1}$ and $A_2 = S_n$. Then $W = W_{A_1} \times W_{A_2}$ and $W_T = W_{A_1 \cap T} \times W_{A_2 \cap T}$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} W\partial\Sigma(W_T, T) &= W(\partial\Sigma(W_{A_1 \cap T}, A_1 \cap T) * \partial\Sigma(W_{A_2 \cap T}, A_2 \cap T)) \\ &\supset W_{A_1}\partial\Sigma(W_{A_1 \cap T}, A_1 \cap T) * W_{A_2}\partial\Sigma(W_{A_2 \cap T}, A_2 \cap T). \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, $W_{A_i}\partial\Sigma(W_{A_i \cap T}, A_i \cap T)$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W_{A_i}, A_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Since

$$\partial\Sigma(W, S) = \partial\Sigma(W_{A_1}, A_1) * \partial\Sigma(W_{A_2}, A_2),$$

we obtain that $W\partial\Sigma(W_T, T)$ is dense in $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$. □

Also we can obtain the following corollary from Theorem 5.1. The proof in more general case is provided in Section 6.

Corollary 5.4. *For a right-angled Coxeter system (W, S), the set $\{w^\infty \mid w \in W,\ o(w) = \infty\}$ is dense in the boundary $\partial\Sigma(W, S)$.*

6. REMARKS ON DENSE SUBSETS OF BOUNDARIES OF CAT(0) GROUPS

In this section, we investigate dense subsets of boundaries of CAT(0) groups. Definitions and basic properties of CAT(0) spaces and their boundaries can be found in [4]. A group Γ is called a *CAT(0) group*, if Γ acts geometrically (i.e. properly and cocompactly by isometries) on some CAT(0) space. For example, a Coxeter group W acts geometrically on the Davis complex $\Sigma(W,S)$ which is a CAT(0) space, and W is a CAT(0) group.

There is the following open problem.

Question 6.1. Suppose that a group Γ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X. Is it the case that the set $\{\gamma^\infty \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in the boundary ∂X?

We consider relation between this question and minimality of boundaries of CAT(0) groups.

First, we note that there is the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose that a group Γ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X. If there exists $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $o(\delta)=\infty$ and $\Gamma\delta^\infty$ is dense in the boundary ∂X, then the set $\{\gamma^\infty \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in ∂X. Hence, if the boundary ∂X is minimal, then the set $\{\gamma^\infty \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in ∂X.*

Proof. Suppose that $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $o(\delta)=\infty$ and $\Gamma\delta^\infty$ is dense in ∂X. Let $\alpha \in \partial X$. Since $\Gamma\delta^\infty$ is dense in ∂X, there exists a sequence $\{\gamma_i\} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\{\gamma_i\delta^\infty\}$ converges to α in ∂X. Here for $x_0 \in X$ and each i, the sequence $\{(\gamma_i\delta\gamma_i^{-1})^j x_0\}_j$ converges to $\gamma_i\delta^\infty$ in $X \cup \partial X$. Hence $(\gamma_i\delta\gamma_i^{-1})^\infty = \gamma_i\delta^\infty$ and $\{(\gamma_i\delta\gamma_i^{-1})^\infty\}_i$ converges to α in ∂X. Thus $\{\gamma^\infty \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in ∂X.

Now we suppose that the boundary ∂X is minimal. Every CAT(0) group has an element of infinite order ([21, Theorem 11]). Let $\delta \in \Gamma$ such that $o(\delta)=\infty$. Then $\Gamma\delta^\infty$ is dense in ∂X because ∂X is minimal. Hence, by the above argument, the set $\{\gamma^\infty \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in ∂X. □

We obtain the following proposition from some splitting theorems for CAT(0) spaces.

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that a group $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are infinite. Then X contains a quasi-dense subspace $X' = X_1 \times X_2$ and there exists a product subgroup $\Gamma_1' \times \Gamma_2'$ of finite index in Γ such that X_1 is the convex hull $C(\Gamma_1' x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and Γ_2' acts geometrically on X_2 by projection.*

Proof. By [12, Lemma 2.1], there exist subgroups $G_1 \times A_1$ and $G_2 \times A_2$ of finite index in Γ_1 and Γ_2 respectively such that G_1 and G_2 have finite center and A_i is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{n_i}$ for some n_i $(i=1,2)$.

In the case A_i is not trivial for some $i \in \{1,2\}$, let $\Gamma'_1 = A_i$ and $\Gamma'_2 = G_1 \times G_2 \times A_{3-i}$. Then by the Flat Torus Theorem ([4, Theorem II.7.1]), the proposition holds.

In the case A_1 and A_2 are trivial, let $\Gamma'_1 = G_1$ and $\Gamma'_2 = G_2$. Here G_1 and G_2 have finite center. By [16, Theorem 2] and [18, Corollary 10], the proposition holds. □

Concerning non-minimality of boundaries of CAT(0) groups, using Proposition 6.3, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Suppose that a group Γ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X. If Γ contains a subgroup $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ of finite index such that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are infinite, then the boundary ∂X is not minimal.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ be a subgroup of finite index in Γ, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are infinite. Then $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ acts geometrically on X. By Proposition 6.3, X contains a quasi-dense subspace $X_1 \times X_2$ and there exist a product subgroup $\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2$ of finite index in Γ such that X_1 is the convex hull $C(\Gamma'_1 x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and Γ'_2 acts geometrically on X_2 by projection.

To prove that ∂X is not minimal, we show that $\Gamma(\partial X_1)$ is not dense in ∂X.

Since $\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2$ is a subgroup of finite index in Γ, there exist a number n and $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\} \subset \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \delta_i(\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2)$.

Since $X_1 = C(\Gamma'_1 x_0)$ is Γ'_1-invariant, $\Gamma'_1(\partial X_1) = \partial X_1$. For each $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma'_2$, $\gamma_2 X_1$ and X_1 are parallel by the proof of splitting theorems ([4], [16], [18]), hence $\gamma_2(\partial X_1) = \partial X_1$, that is, $\Gamma'_2(\partial X_1) = \partial X_1$. Thus $(\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2)(\partial X_1) = \partial X_1$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\partial X_1) &= (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \delta_i(\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2))(\partial X_1) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\delta_i(\Gamma'_1 \times \Gamma'_2)(\partial X_1)) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\delta_i(\partial X_1)).\end{aligned}$$

Here we note that $\Gamma(\partial X_1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\delta_i(\partial X_1))$ is closed. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \overline{\Gamma(\partial X_1)} &= \dim \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\delta_i(\partial X_1)) = \dim \partial X_1 \\ &< \dim(\partial X_1 * \partial X_2) = \dim \partial X.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Gamma(\partial X_1)$ is not dense in ∂X. This implies that ∂X is not minimal. □

Here the author has the following question which is the converse of Theorem 6.4.

Question 6.5. Suppose that a group Γ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X. Is it the case that if Γ does not contain a subgroup $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ of finite index such that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are infinite, then the boundary ∂X is minimal?

Theorem 5.1 implies that the answer of Question 6.5 is yes for right-angled Coxeter groups and their boundaries.

Remark. If Γ does not contain a subgroup $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ of finite index such that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are infinite and if X splits as a product $X_1 \times X_2$, then the boundary ∂X is maybe non-minimal. The author does not have a proof of the statement: If X splits as a product $X_1 \times X_2$ then the boundary ∂X is not minimal. This statement seems to be true.

Here we show that if the answer of Question 6.5 is yes, then the answer of Question 6.1 is also yes. To prove this, we show that Question 6.1 is equivalent to the following question.

Question 6.6. Suppose that a group Γ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X and Γ does not contain a subgroup $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ of finite index where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are infinite. Is it the case that the set $\{\gamma^\infty \mid \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma) = \infty\}$ is dense in the boundary ∂X?

Theorem 6.7. *Questions 6.1 and 6.6 are equivalent.*

Proof. It is obvious that Question 6.1 contains Question 6.6. We show that if the answer of Question 6.6 is yes, then the answer of Question 6.1 is also yes.

Suppose that a group Γ acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X. Let $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a subgroup of finite index in Γ such that each Γ_i is infinite and each Γ_i does not contain a subgroup $\Gamma_{i1} \times \Gamma_{i2}$ of finite index such that Γ_{i1} and Γ_{i2} are infinite. Here we note that each Γ_i is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ or has finite center by [12, Lemma 2.1]. Hence we can suppose that for some number k, Γ_i is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ for each $i \leq k$ and Γ_i has finite center for each $i > k$.

We prove by the induction on n.

In the case $n = 1$, it is obvious.

We consider in the case $n = 2$. Then $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ is a subgroup of finite index in Γ and $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ acts geometrically on X. By Proposition 6.3, X contains a quasi-dense subspace $X_1 \times X_2$ such that $X_1 = C(\Gamma_1 x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and Γ_2 acts geometrically on X_2 by projection. Let $\alpha \in \partial X$. Here

$$\partial X = \partial X_1 * \partial X_2 = (\partial X_1 \times \partial X_2 \times [-\pi, \pi])/\sim .$$

Hence $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \theta]$ for some $\alpha_1 \in \partial X_1$, $\alpha_2 \in \partial X_2$ and $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Now $\{\gamma^\infty \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_1,\ o(\gamma) = \infty\}$ is dense in ∂X_1 and $\{\delta^\infty \mid \delta \in \Gamma_2,\ o(\delta) = \infty\}$ is dense in ∂X_2. Hence there exist sequences $\{\gamma_i\} \subset \Gamma_1$ and $\{\delta_i\} \subset \Gamma_2$ such that $\{\gamma_i^\infty\}$ converges to α_1 and $\{\delta_i^\infty\}$ converges to α_2. Since $\langle \gamma_i, \delta_i \rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, by the Flat Torus Theorem ([4, Theorem II.7.1]), $\langle \gamma_i, \delta_i \rangle$ acts geometrically on some convex hull $C(\langle\gamma_i,\delta_i\rangle x_i)$ which is isometric to the Euclidean plane. Here $C(\langle\gamma_i,\delta_i\rangle x_i) \subset X_1 \times X_2$ and

$$\{\gamma_i^{-\infty},\gamma_i^{\infty},\delta_i^{-\infty},\delta_i^{\infty}\} \subset \partial(C(\langle\gamma_i,\delta_i\rangle x_i)).$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{a_{ij}\} \subset \langle\gamma_i,\delta_i\rangle$ such that $\{a_{ij}^{\infty}\}_j$ converges to $[\gamma_i^{\infty},\delta_i^{\infty},\theta]$. Here the sequence $\{[\gamma_i^{\infty},\delta_i^{\infty},\theta]\}_i$ converges to α. Hence

$$\alpha \in \overline{\{a_{ij}^{\infty} \mid i,j \in \mathbb{N}\}} \subset \overline{\{\gamma^{\infty} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}}.$$

Thus $\{\gamma^{\infty} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in ∂X.

We consider in the case $n>2$. Then $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \times \Gamma_n$ is a subgroup of finite index in Γ. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \Gamma_n$. Here we can suppose that $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ has finite center or each Γ_i is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. By the inductive hypothesis and the same argument as the proof in the case $n=2$, we obtain that $\{\gamma^{\infty} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma,\ o(\gamma)=\infty\}$ is dense in ∂X. □

By Proposition 6.2, we see that Question 6.5 contains Question 6.6. Hence we obtain the following theorem from Theorem 6.7.

Theorem 6.8. *Question 6.5 contains Question 6.1.*

By Theorem 5.1, the answer of Question 6.5 is yes for right-angled Coxeter groups and their boundaries. Hence, by Theorem 6.8, we obtain that the answer of Question 6.1 is also yes in this case (Corollary 5.4).

REFERENCES

[1] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algebrès de Lie*, Chapters IV-VI, Masson, Paris, 1981.

[2] P. L. Bowers and K. Ruane, *Fixed points in boundaries of negatively curved groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124 (no.4)** (1996), 1311–1313.

[3] P. L. Bowers and K. Ruane, *Boundaries of nonpositively curved groups of the form $G \times \mathbb{Z}^n$*, Glasgow Math. J. **38** (1996), 177–189.

[4] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[5] K. S. Brown, *Buildings*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[6] M. W. Davis, *Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. **117** (1983), 293–324.

[7] M. W. Davis, *Nonpositive curvature and reflection groups*, in Handbook of geometric topology (Edited by R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher), pp. 373–422, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[8] M. W. Davis, *The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients*, Duke Math. J. **91 (no.2)** (1998), 297–314.

[9] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, in Essays in group theory (Edited by S. M. Gersten), pp. 75–263, M.S.R.I. Publ. 8, 1987.

[10] T. Hosaka, *Parabolic subgroups of finite index in Coxeter groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **169** (2002), 215–227.

[11] T. Hosaka, *Determination up to isomorphism of right-angled Coxeter systems*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **79** (2003), 33–35.

[12] T. Hosaka, *A splitting theorem for CAT(0) spaces with the geodesic extension property*, Tsukuba J. Math. **27** (2003), 289–293.

[13] T. Hosaka, *Dense subsets of the boundary of a Coxeter system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 3441–3448.

[14] T. Hosaka, *Addendum to "Dense subsets of the boundary of a Coxeter system"*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 3745–3747.

[15] T. Hosaka, *On dense orbits in the boundary of a Coxeter system*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **45 (no.3)** (2005), 627–631.

[16] T. Hosaka, *On splitting theorems for CAT(0) spaces and compact geodesic spaces of non-positive curvature*, arXiv:math.GR/0405551v1 (2004), 1–20.

[17] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[18] N. Monod, *Superrigidity for irreducible lattices and geometric splitting*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), 781–814.

[19] G. Moussong, *Hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Ohio State University, 1988.

[20] D. Radcliffe, *Unique presentation of Coxeter groups and related groups*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2001.

[21] E. L. Swenson, *A cut point theorem for CAT(0) groups*, J. Differential Geom. **53** (1999), 327-358.

[22] J. Tits, *Le problème des mots dans les groupes de Coxeter*, Symposia Mathematica, vol. 1, pp. 175–185, Academic Press, London, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, UTSUNOMIYA UNIVERSITY, UTSUNOMIYA, 321-8505, JAPAN

E-mail address: `hosaka@cc.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp`